THE SYNTHESES AND BINDING AFFINITIES OF TOOLS FOR THE STUDY OF ANGIOTENSIN AT, RECEPTORS

John C. Hodges^{*}, Jeremy J. Edmunds, Gerald D. Nordblom and Gina H. Lu Parke-Davis Pharmaceutical Research Division, Warner-Lambert Co. 2800 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1047, U.S.A.

(Received 9 June 1992; accepted 15 July 1992)

Abstract: Syntheses of radiolabeled, fluorescent and biotinyl probes with highly selective affinity for the angiotensin AT₂ receptor are described. The binding affinities at AT₂ and AT₁ receptors are reported.

A large body of information has been published in the recent scientific literature regarding the characterization and anatomical location of subclasses of angiotensin II receptors¹. Much of this work has relied upon the use of radiolabeled angiotensin II (Ang II) or one of its analogues and displacement with subtype-specific Ang II receptor binding inhibitors. One AT₁ selective probe, ³H-DUP 753², and one AT₂ selective probe, ¹²⁵I-CGP 42112A³ have also been reported. We have previously published on nonpeptide, AT₂ selective agents^{4,5}. These agents are readily modified to give a variety of AT₂ selective probes. Schemes I-III show the syntheses of ¹²⁵I-labeled, fluorescent and biotinyl analogues of PD 123319⁶. As shown in Table 1, each of these agents retains excellent AT₂ affinity and selectivity compared to the parent compound. Compounds 2, 7, and 10 have potential applications in the study of AT₂ receptors, including receptor binding assays, autoradiography, fluorescent imaging, histochemical staining and affinity chromatography.

lodination of the phenolic analogue, 1, proceeds smoothly on a preparative scale using excess sodium iodide and Chloramine-T, followed by reversed phase chromatography to afford 2. The synthesis was readily adapted for ¹²⁵I-labeling by using an excess of 1 and Chloramine-T.

Scheme I: Radiolabeled AT₂ Probe. a) Cold synthesis: 3.3eq Nal, 1.5eq Chloramine-T, MeOH-pH 7.4 phosphate buffer (0.1M), 15°C. C_{18} , MeCN-0.1%TFA_{aq} (30:70 \rightarrow 40:60). b) Hot synthesis: 1 (2μg, 4.1nmol in 2μL MeOH + 40μL 0.5M pH 7.4 PO₄), Na¹²⁶I (2.0 mCi, in 5.1μL 0.1M NaOH), Chloramine-T (30μg, 132 nmol in 30 μL 0.05M pH 7.4 PO₄). 1 min at 25° then quench with NaHSO₃ (10μg in 10 uL 0.05M pH 7.4 PO₄). Altech Econosil C_{18} 10μ (0.46 X 25cm), MeCN-0.1%TFA_{aq} (30:70, 1.5mL/min), RT: 3 min (Na¹²⁶I), 21 min (1), 39-42 min (2). Yield 1 mCi.

In designing the fluorescent probe we relied upon established structure-activity relationships (SAR) for PD 123319 analogues⁴ which indicate a broad tolerance for N(1) substituents. Additionally, since N-alkyl anilines consistently give better AT_2 affinity than anilides, we chose an ω -aminoalkyl spacer between the fluorescent group and the AT_2 ligand rather than an ω -aminoacid

Scheme II: Fluorescent AT₂ Probe. a) 1.1eq BOC-NH(CH₂) $_5$ CHO, 3Å Sieves, THF, 24 hr then NaCNBH $_3$, MeOH, Bromocresol Green indicator, HOAc to pH 4. Si Gel, CHCl $_3$ -MeOH (99:1). b) MeOH·HCl, CH $_2$ Cl $_2$, 3h. c) β-Ala-OtBu·HCl, 2eq Et $_3$ N, KI(cat), MeCN, 30 min. Si Gel, CHCl $_3$ -MeCN (85:15). d) TFA-CH $_2$ Cl $_2$ (2:1), 6 hr. Si Gel, CHCl $_3$ -MeOH-HOAc (95:4:1). e) 5eq Et $_3$ N, 6, DCC, HOBT, DMF-CH $_2$ Cl $_2$ (3:1), 24 hr. Si Gel, CHCl $_3$ -MeOH (99:1 → 96:4). f) 3eq NaOH, MeOH, THF, H $_2$ O, 8 hr. C $_{18}$, MeCN-O.1%TFA $_{20}$ (35:65 → 40:60).

spacer. Thus, reductive amination of **3** with N-BOC-6-aminohexanal and subsequent removal of the BOC protecting group affords **4**. Selective acylation of the more reactive amino group with the fluorescent aminoacid, **6** (prepared by the reaction of β -alanine *t*-butyl ester with **5**⁷ followed by treatment with TFA) and saponification of the resulting product gives the fluorescent probe, **7**. Remarkably, **7** has an AT₂ IC₅₀ of 3.6 nM which is slightly better than PD 123319 (8.3 nM). This compound is also quite AT₂ specific since it is inactive in the AT₁ binding assay at 1 uM concentration.

Given the AT_2 binding affinity of 7, a similar strategy was used to prepare a biotinyl analogue of PD 123319. For the biotinyl compound we chose to extend the linker by insertion of a lysine residue. The longer linker was designed to both increase the likelihood of coincidental binding by avidin and the AT_2 receptor and improve water solubility of 10 relative to 7 by salt formation at the additional amino group. Thus, acylation of 4 with an $N(\alpha)BOC-N(\epsilon)biotinyl-lysine$ residue (9) and subsequent removal of protecting groups yields 10. This extended compound still binds well to the AT_2 receptor ($IC_{50} = 7.0$ nM) and has no detectable AT_1 binding at 0.1 μ M. We are currently studying the AT_2 binding of the 10-avidin complex and the effects of enzyme linked avidins and biotin antibodies on the AT_2 binding of 10.

The receptor binding activities of **7** and **10** indicate that the group at the end of the 6-carbon linker has little effect upon AT₂ receptor affinity or upon the ratio of AT₂/AT₁ binding. Thus, substitution of other functional groups such as fluorescein and rhodamine B at the end of either the short or the long linker would also be expected to give useful AT₂ probes.

Scheme III: Biotinyl AT₂ Probe. a) 1.2eq(Biotin, DCC, HOBT), Et₃N, DMF, 24 hr. Si Gel, CHCl₃-MeOH (99:1 \rightarrow 90:10). b) 2eq NaOH, MeOH, THF, H₂O, 12 hr. c) 4, 5eq Et₃N, DCC, HOBT, DMF, 24 hr. Si Gel, CHCl₃-MeOH (99:1 \rightarrow 92:8). d) MeOH+HCl, CH₂Cl₂, 3 hr. e) 10eq NaOH, MeOH, THF, H₂O, 90 min. C₁₈, MeCN-0.1%TFA₈₀ (75:25 \rightarrow 65:35).

Compound	FAB-MS°	Receptor Binding	
		AT ₂ IC ₅₀ (nM)	AT,
PD 123319	509 (M+1)	8.3	NA* at 0.1 μM
2	608 (M+1)	5.1	NA° at 0.1 μM
7	814 (M+1)	3.6	NA° at 1 μM
10	956 (M+Na) 934 (M+1)	7.0	NA* at 0.1 μM

Table 1: AT₁ and AT₂ Receptor Binding Affinities⁸

References and notes:

- 1. Hodges, J.C.; Hamby, J.M.; Blankley, C.J. *Drugs of the Future*, **1992,** in press, and references cited therein.
- 2. Chiu, A.T.; McCall, D.E.; Aldrich, P.E.; Timmermans, P.B.M.W.M. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.*, **1990**, *172*, 1195-1202.
- 3. Whitebread, S.E.; Taylor, V.; Botarri, S.P.; Kamber, B.; de Gasparo, M. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.*, **1991**, *181*, 1365-1371.
- 4. Blankley, C.J.; Hodges, J.C.; Klutchko, S.R.; Himmelsbach, R.J.; Chucholowski, A.; Connolly, C.J.; Neergaard, S.J.; Van Nieuwenhze, M.S.; Sebastian, A.; Quin, III, J.; Essenberg, A.D.; Cohen, D.M. *J. Med. Chem.*, **1991**, *34*, 3248-3260.
- 5. Klutchko, S.; Hodges, J.C.; Blankley, C.J; Colbry, N.L. J. Het. Chem., 1991, 28, 97-108.
- 6. Dudley, D.T.; Panek, R.L.; Major, T.C.; Lu, G.H.; Bruns, R.F.; Klinkefus, B.A.; Hodges, J.C.; Weishaar, R.E. *Molecular Pharmacol.*, **1990**, *38*, 370-377.
- 7. Fager, R.S.; Kutina, C.B.; Abrahamson, E.W. Anal. Biochem., 1973, 53, 290-294.
- 8. The receptor binding assays are as described in reference 6 except that BSA was omitted from the assay buffer. Results are the average of two concurrent experiments.
- 9. The authors thank Dana DeJohn, Tracy Stevenson, and Leslie McMacken for FAB mass spectral data.

^{*} Not Active (≤10% Inhibition).